

Environmental Sampling III., grading the LRK reports

2017. march 13.

Group A grade: 5

The report is well written, the structure is logical, the results are correct, the explanations and the conclusions are well developed.

Group B grade: 5

The report is well written, nice job, the results are correct, the explanations and the conclusions are well developed.

Group C grade: 3

Generally good job, but there are missing parts. In the environmental analysis there are no figures or graphs, and no analysis and discussion which are serious drawbacks. The only analysis is the list of minimum and maximum values, which is not enough. The result of the chromatogram is not correct, the order of magnitude differs by 3 orders.

Group D grade: 2

The report seems to be using various sources from the web, which are not harmonized together. It was not successfully worked out for a unified text. The result of the chromatogram is not correct, the order of magnitude differs by 6 orders. The environmental analysis is just missing.

Group E grade: 4

The result of the chromatogram calculation is o.k. The environmental analysis is good. There are explanations and conclusions. The logical thinking of the authors of their own is transparent. But there are no figures and graphs. The other parts are o.k., but their structure is not harmonized in a few cases.

Group F grade: 1

The report has not been submitted.