

## Environmental Sampling III., grading the Savoya Park lectures

2017. march 13.

Evaluation:

name	group/topic	grade	strength	weakness
Guerra Freire, Sara Maria	A gamma	4/5	uncertainties, good answers	measurement points missing
Špilovič, Tanja	B noise	5	uncertainties, references, good answers, good calculation	–
Abbasi, Maria Akhtar	A noise	3/4	good diagram for the measured locations	no uncertainty, uncertain answers
El Gaaloul Jberi, Ghazoua	D gamma	3/4	good introduction, good lecture	natural – artificial radiation, description of measurement sites, answers, no uncertainty
Seyidova, Zeynab	F noise	3	introduction	loudness, measurement in snow, did not answer the fixed question of the group (redlight effects)
Bayramova, Jeyran	B gamma	4	good presentation and interpretation of the data and the conditions	nSv/h → mSv/y uncertainty presentation
Talibova, Nigar	C noise	2/3	introduction	$10^{12} \neq 12$ , no units in the table and in the graph neither!! ☹ wrong explanation of horizontal axis
Liao, Xianya	E electrosmog	3/4	interpretation of the B components limits from the net	do not read the slides! conclusion and uncertainties
Gurbanova, Natavan	F gamma	3	photos good explanation	measurement protocol answer, $mk \neq \mu$ , uncertainties, units no diagrams
Hank, Abderrahim	D noise	3	description of measurement points	definition of dB no limits to compare
Hajizada Jamila	E noise	3/4	dB equation	pressure or power in dB definition of parameters
Boldpurev, Enkhtuul	C gamma	3	introduction uncertainties	missing the annual dose calculation, which was the original question REM, too many digits

### Did not attend:

Toribio Barea, Esteban Hernan, but he submitted the lecture what he is preparing for the postponed lecture, therefore it is o.k.